ESSEX COUNTY IMPROVEMENT AUTHORITY ESSEX COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

: REGULAR BOARD MEETING : and : ANNUAL RE-ORGANIZATION : :

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED

PROCEEDINGS

27 Wright Way Fairfield, New Jersey

Tuesday, February 23, 2016 Commencing at 5:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

COMMISSIONER MARK S. DUNEC COMMISSIONER CLIFFORD ROSS COMMISSIONER GERARD M. SPIESBACH COMMISSIONER CARLA A. STANZIALE COMMISSIONER RONALD J. BROWN, Vice Chairman

MEMBERS ABSENT:

COMMISSIONER STEVEN H. KLINGHOFFER, Chairman

ALSO PRESENT:

STEVEN C. ROTHER, Executive Director NIA H. GILL, ESQ., General Counsel LUCY SAPINSKI, Director of Operations THOMAS GOMEZ, Airport Manager BETSY WILLIAMSON, Administrative Assistant JOSEPH CALI, Senior Project Manager/Accounts Receivables JOHN J. SCALLY, JR. ESQ., Bond Counsel NOREEN WHITE, ACACIA

1	<u>i</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> X	
2		PAGE
3	SUNSHINE NOTICE	4
4	RE-ORGANIZATION	
5	Nomination and Election of Officers	5
6	Adoption of 2016-2017 Meeting Schedule	6
7	ROLL CALL	4
8	PUBLIC COMMENTS	17
9	ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES	
10	Resolution No. 16-07	18
11	EXECUTIVE SESSION	
12	Resolution No. 16-061	72
13	Resolution No. 16-08	72
14	RESOLUTIONS	
15	Resolution No. 16-036	20
16	Resolution No. 16-037	20
17	Resolution No. 16-038	20
18	Resolution No. 16-039	20
19	Resolution No. 16-040	21
20	Resolution No. 16-041	23
21	Resolution No. 16-042	25
22	Resolution No. 16-043	25
23	Resolution No. 16-044	26
24	Resolution No. 16-045	28
25	Resolution No. 16-046	30

1	<u>i n d e x</u>	
2		PAGE
3	Resolution No. 16-047	30
4	Resolution No. 16-048	29
5	Resolution No. 16-049	31
6	Resolution No. 16-050	32
7	Resolution No. 16-051	32
8	Resolution No. 16-052	32
9	Resolution No. 16-053	41
10	Resolution No. 16-054	42
11	Resolution No. 16-055	43
12	Resolution No. 16-056	43
13	Resolution No. 16-057	7,69
14	Resolution No. 16-058	69
15	Resolution No. 16-059	74
16	Resolution No. 16-060	74
17	UPDATES	75
18	Motion to adjourn meeting	
19	Resolution No. 16-09	87
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
I		

	4
1	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Start the meeting.
2	I'll start with the Sunshine Notice.
3	Notice was duly given by regular mail and
4	facsimile to the Essex County Executive, the
5	Essex County Clerk, the Clerk of the Board of
6	Chosen Freeholders, Freeholder Timberlake, the
7	News Edition (sic) of <u>The Herald News</u> , the News
8	Edition (sic) of <u>The Star-Ledger</u> , and notice was
9	posted on the bulletin board in the Office of
10	Essex County Improvement Authority stating the
11	time, date and location of the Regular Board and
12	Annual Meeting.
13	
14	ROLL CALL:
15	
16	MS. SAPINSKI: Vice Chairman Brown?
17	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Here.
18	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
19	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Here.
20	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
21	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Here.
22	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
23	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Here.
24	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
25	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Present.

1 MR. ROTHER: We would like to, VICE 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN, go -- go through the -- the --3 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Re-Organization --4 MR. ROTHER: -- Re-Organization --5 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- first. 6 MR. ROTHER: -- aspect of this. 7 And then we would like to skip to the end 8 because we have professional guests here who are 9 addressing the -- the bond matter and we'd like 10 them to make a presentation so we can let them go 11 -- go early. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 13 14 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 15 action on the following item: 16 **RE-ORGANIZATION** 17 NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF 2016-2017 Α. 18 OFFICERS 19 STEVEN H. KLINGHOFFER - CHAIRMAN 20 RONALD J. BROWN - VICE CHAIRMAN 21 CARLA A. STANZIALE - SECRETARY 22 GERARD M. SPIESBACH - TREASURER.) 23 24 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'm going to move the 25 Re-Organization meeting -- the Re-Organization.

1	C	Can I have a motion please
2	C	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Motion.
3	V	/ICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: on the Re-
4	Organiz	ation?
5	C	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: I make a motion.
6	C	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Second.
7	V	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Roll call,
8	Lucy.	
9	Μ	AS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
10	V	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
11	Μ	AS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
12	C	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
13	Μ	AS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
14	C	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
15	Μ	AS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
16	C	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
17	Μ	AS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
18	C	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
19		
20	((Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
21	action	on the following item:
22	RE-ORGA	NIZATION
23	B. A	ADOPTION OF 2016-2017 MEETING SCHEDULE.)
24		
25	Z	/ICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
	I	

	7
1	Can I get a motion on the Adoption of the
2	'16-`17 Meeting Schedule please?
3	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll move it.
4	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Second.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Second.
6	Lucy.
7	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
9	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
10	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
11	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
12	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
13	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
14	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
15	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
16	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
17	
18	(Whereupon, the Board discussed the
19	following item:
20	FINANCE
21	22. RESOLUTION NO. 16-057 - SUPPLEMENTAL BOND
22	RESOLUTION OF THE ESSEX COUNTY IMPROVEMENT
23	AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF ITS NOT TO
24	EXCEED \$8,250,000 PROJECT CONSOLIDATION REVENUE
25	REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2016.)

2 MR. ROTHER: Okay, and if we can now skip 3 to matter 22, the -- the Supplemental Bond Resolution. 4

5 And ask that our guests, Scally and Noreen 6 White step up and introduce yourself for the 7 record.

MS. WHITE: Sure. I'm Noreen White. I'm 8 9 the co-President ACACIA Financial Group, the 10 Financial Advisor to the Authority for this bond 11 transaction.

12 MR. SCALLY: And I'm John Scally. I'm a 13 member of Chiesa, Shahinian and Giantomasi. And 14 we are Bond Counsel for this transaction.

15 MR. ROTHER: Noreen, could you perhaps take 16 the lead and tell the Board what this 17

transaction's all about.

1

18

MS. WHITE: Sure.

19 So we're always looking for ways to save 20 the County money and we identified some bonds 21 that are outstanding -- the Series 2005 bonds --22 that we can refinance for savings.

23 The savings are approximately \$875,000.00, 24 which equates to 11.3 percent on a present value 25 basis.

Normal rule of thumb is that you look for
 savings that are at least three percent on a
 present value basis before you do a refunding.

The total cash flow savings are about
\$967,000.00. So the County will -- and the
Improvement Authority will essentially be saving
80 to \$85,000.00 a year with this refinancing.

8 We're going to be doing the sale on a
9 competitive basis, so we'll be -- we'll be
10 publishing a notice of sale and then official
11 statement and be taking bids. We're targeting
12 March 31st.

We have submitted an application to Local
Finance Board that was favorably acted upon,
which Mr. Scally will give the details of the
legal stuff that needs to be done next.

But it's a great deal for the County and the Improvement Authority. It's not often that we can save you money and so we're very happy that we were able to identify this opportunity and the County CFO's very excited, as is the County Exec.

And, with that, if there's any questions
about the transaction I'll answer them. If not,
I'll let Mr. Scally go through the legal hoops

	. 10
1	you have to jump through to finish this up.
2	MR. SCALLY: Anybody?
3	Thank you.
4	Before you is a Supplemental Resolution,
5	which has supplemented so many deals in the past
6	that this is a part of; a Jail deal back in the
7	nineties; the Parking at the County Courthouse.
8	So we are now supplementing this original
9	Bond Resolution to incorporate the new
10	transaction. And this authorizes the issuance of
11	the 2016 refunding bonds.
12	The provisions of the of the, you know,
13	the redemptions, things like that, are covered in
14	here generally.
15	What we do in this document and I've
16	been doing for many years as Executive
17	Director
18	Rother knows in his years as Commissioner.
19	we delegate to the Executive Director
20	the ability to actually finalize the details of
21	the transaction.
22	As Noreen said these bonds will be sold
23	competitively. Which which means we're not
24	negotiating with an underwriter. There's
25	there's actually the bonds are advertised for

sale. The bids now come in electronically. And
 years ago people used to walk -- drive here,
 they'd be on the phones calling --

4 Nobody would find this place anyway now.
5 So it's good we're being done electronically.

And that day will be set -- we will receive
the bids here. We'll be here. And your
Executive Director will actually give -- be given
the authority in this Bond Resolution, within
parameters.

The size can't be a certain greater than.
The interest rate can't be greater than, in this
case, six percent; we get these numbers from your
financial advisor. And the maturity can't go
beyond 2027.

16 So we're assuming the bids are all going to 17 come in under those parameters and Executive 18 Director will sign a certificate that day 19 awarding the bonds to the winning purchaser that 20 gives the Authority the best deal, the lowest 21 interest rate, to you.

And that's what all of this does. There's
-- there are amendments to outstanding the 11th
amendment to the lease agreement, which the
Authority has with the County. The 11th amendment

1 to the jail agreement. So you can see how many 2 times these over the years have been refunded and 3 combined.

We authorize what's called an official statement which is the disclosure document for the transaction. And that's being worked on as we speak.

8 There -- the notice of sale is an exhibit 9 to this document and that will continue to be 10 worked on. And that's really the four corners of 11 it.

After the bond sale we'll have a closing a few weeks later. The proceeds will be put in an escrow agreement held by a bank. And then that money will be used to pay off the bonds.

16 And it's all backed by the general

17 obligation of -- of the County --

MR. ROTHER: County.

18

24

25

19 MR. SCALLY: -- of Essex.

20 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Yeah.

21 It was interesting, you -- you mention how
22 -- how we're going to be receiving bids and how
23 that has changed.

MR. SCALLY: Yeah.

MR. ROTHER: I -- I remember the days when

1 we had definitive bonds, --2 MR. SCALLY: Right. 3 MR. ROTHER: -- real pieces of paper. 4 Everything's electronic now. And we used to have 5 to go to the Signature Company and --6 MR. SCALLY: Remember that? 7 MR. ROTHER: -- and sign --8 The first deals I did. 9 -- and sign the bonds where you had -- you 10 wrote your signature and there was a machine that 11 copied your signature on literally scores of 12 bonds. And you just went through bond after bond 13 like that. 14 So, --15 MR. SCALLY: So --16 MR. ROTHER: -- the world has changed and 17 much for the better. 18 MR. SCALLY: Excuse me this story, but this 19 is my 40th year practicing and I started in New 20 York and the Signature Company was where we all 21 went. So I'm a young associate, like first week 22 on the job, and they say you got to go to where 23 they sign these bonds, it's at the Signature 24 Company. And I -- okay. All right. So what's 25 the name of the company?

	14
1	And it was like an Abbott and Costello.
2	It's the Signature Company.
3	MR. ROTHER: The Signature Company.
4	MR. SCALLY: I'm like what where
5	where's that? We're going back and forth.
6	But but they actually would do that
7	and
8	MR. ROTHER: Well the only thing that they
9	didn't improve on the old days, we used to have
10	closing dinners after that,
11	MR. SCALLY: Right.
12	MR. ROTHER: you know.
13	MR. SCALLY: Right.
14	MR. ROTHER: We don't do that anymore
15	but
16	MR. SCALLY: But we won't bore you
17	MR. ROTHER: Okay. Okay.
18	MR. SCALLY: with all these old stories.
19	But that that's it. If anyone has any
20	questions, I'd be happy to answer them.
21	MR. ROTHER: Okay.
22	MR. SCALLY: Appreciate it.
23	MR. ROTHER: Thank you both. Thank you
24	both.
25	MR. SCALLY: Thank you.

1 MR. ROTHER: Any -- any questions, anybody? 2 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Thank you. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Gerry, I'm good. 4 You? 5 Okay. 6 MR. SCALLY: Thanks. 7 Enjoyed meeting you; --8 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Likewise. 9 MR. SCALLY: -- those of you who I don't 10 know. 11 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes, --12 MR. ROTHER: Okay. 13 MS. WHITE: Very happy to be of service to 14 the Authority and the County. 15 MR. SCALLY: Yeah. It's great. 16 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Thank you. 17 MR. ROTHER: Thank you. 18 MR. SCALLY: And, as usual, Lucy gets it all done for us so -- makes life easy. 19 20 MR. ROTHER: I -- I have really only one 21 matter that we might want to discuss in Executive 22 Session. 23 And -- and that's in the event any of you 24 have questions on the Stadium transaction. I 25 would prefer to do that in Executive Session

1 until such time as the contract is actually out 2 there in the public. 3 MS. WHITE: Thank you. 4 MR. SCALLY: Thank you very much. 5 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Bye-bye. 7 MR. ROTHER: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Bye. 9 MR. DUNEC: Thank you. 10 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do you want to go --11 MR. ROTHER: Well, I -- I don't know how --12 however you want to handle it. 13 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, --14 MR. ROTHER: If you want to wait till we 15 get to that --16 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, I can do this 17 ___ 18 Well, we did the roll call, so we'll just 19 do -- go for the public. 20 And then we'll see --21 MR. ROTHER: Okay. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- if you want to go 23 through --24 MR. ROTHER: Okay. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- through the

1 complete --2 MR. ROTHER: Okay. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- agenda --4 MR. ROTHER: Okay. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- and then do 6 Executive Session. 7 MR. ROTHER: Okay. Fine. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: It's really up to you 9 guys how you want to do it. 10 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Perfect. 11 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: That's fine. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 13 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: You're the boss today. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: So we can go through 15 the --16 Huh? 17 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: You're the boss today. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: That comes with a 19 cost. 20 21 PUBLIC COMMENT: 22 23 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right, is anyone 24 here for Public Comment? 25 MS. SAPINSKI: No.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Seeing no public 2 comment. 3 4 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 5 action on the following item: 6 ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES RESOLUTION NO. 16-07 7 8 1. SPECIAL BOARD MEETING 1-22-16; 9 2. REGULAR BOARD MEETING 1-26-16 and EXECUTIVE 10 SESSION.) 11 12 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We'll move on to 13 Acceptance of the Minutes for the Board Meeting 14 1/22/16. 15 MR. DILLON: We did that already, 16 Commissioner. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We did both of --18 I'm sorry. 19 MR. DILLON: Yes. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: No we --21 MS. SAPINSKI: No we didn't do it. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: No we didn't. We 23 didn't do those. 24 MS. SAPINSKI: No, Kevin. 25 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: We did the meeting

1 schedules. 2 MS. SAPINSKI: We did the meeting 3 schedules. 4 MR. DILLON: Oh, you did the meeting --5 I'm sorry. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We -- we accepted the 7 meeting schedule. 8 I'm saying I -- I'm not -- not getting 9 senile here. 10 So I want to do Acceptance of the Minutes 11 of --12 Now, can I do both of those together, Lucy? 13 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes, you can. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay; 1/16/26 (sic) 15 and the Executive Session 1/6 -- 1/26/16. 16 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Move to accept. 17 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Second. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Roll call, Lucy. 19 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 20 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. 21 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 22 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes. 23 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach? 24 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes. 25 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?

20 1 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes. 2 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross? 3 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 4 5 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 6 action on the following items: 7 ADMINISTRATIVE 1. RESOLUTION NO. 16-036 - RESOLUTION 8 9 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO AUDIO-DIGITAL 10 TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES, LLC FOR TRANSCRIPTION OF 11 BOARD MEETING ON 1-22-16 (SPECIAL MEETING) AND 12 1-26-16; 13 2. RESOLUTION NO. 16-037 - RESOLUTION 14 RATIFYING PAYMENT OF RECURRING BILLS (BILL LIST); 15 3. RESOLUTION NO. 16-038 - RESOLUTION 16 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO D. TORLUCCIO IN THE AMOUNT 17 OF \$19,085.00 FOR SNOW/ICE REMOVAL (BID AWARD 18 CONTRACT, RESOLUTION # 14-293); and 4. RESOLUTION NO. 16-039 - RESOLUTION 19 20 AUTHORIZING PURCHASE OF ECIA INSURANCE POLICIES 21 FOR WORKER'S COMPENSATION, AND UNDERGROUND TANKS 22 FOR THE PERIOD 3-1-16 TO 3-1-17.) 23 24 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, moving on to 25 Administrative.

		21
1	Any questions?	
2	Can I have a motion to accept?	
3	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll make a	
4	motion.	
5	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Second.	
6	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, Lucy?	
7	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?	
8	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.	
9	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?	
10	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.	
11	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?	
12	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.	
13	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?	
14	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.	
15	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?	
16	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.	
17		
18	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took	
19	action on the following item:	
20	NEWARK RIVERFRONT PROJECT/LITIGATION	
21	5. RESOLUTION NO. 16-040 - RESOLUTION	
22	AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF \$351.34 TO DE COTIIS,	
23	FITZPATRICK & COLE, LLP FOR LEGAL SERVICES	
24	RENDERED IN THE MONTH OF JANUARY 2016.)	
25		
	ll de la constant de	

1 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to Newark 2 Riverfront Project Litigation. 3 Can I --4 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I make a motion. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- is there any 6 questions? 7 Can I make a motion? 8 You make a motion? 9 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes, I'll make the 10 motion. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Can I get a second 12 please? 13 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Second. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 15 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 16 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. 17 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 18 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes. 19 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach? 20 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes. 21 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale? 22 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes. 23 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross? 24 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Aye. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

1	23
2	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
2	
	action on the following item:
4	CORRECTIONAL FACILITY/CELANESE LITIGATION
5	*ALL EXPENSES PAID FROM ECIA GENERAL/OPERATING
6	ACCOUNT
7	6. RESOLUTION NO. 16-041 - RESOLUTION
8	AUTHORIZING PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$35,527.98
9	TO BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY, PC FOR LEGAL
10	SERVICES RENDERED IN THE MONTH OF JANUARY 2016.)
11	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to
13	Correctional Facility/Celanese Litigation, 6
14	number 6.
15	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I just have a
16	quick question on that.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sure.
18	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Are we going to be
19	getting an update from Buchanan anytime soon?
20	Or
21	I know he came in
22	MR. ROTHER: Are we getting an update? Yes
23	we are getting an update.
24	And, as a matter of fact, I am supposed to
25	I was supposed to get one yesterday; only

1 because of all of the activity that took place 2 around the Stadium I couldn't get the call. 3 But we have -- we have been getting 4 periodic oral --5 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Um-hum. 6 MR. ROTHER: -- updates and periodically 7 written updates. 8 There's one out there right now that I got 9 a day or two ago on the status of the settlement, 10 which I can forward to you all. 11 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Please. 12 MR. ROTHER: And if you have questions 13 please, you know, --14 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: That would be 15 great. 16 MR. ROTHER: -- let us -- let us know what 17 -- the questions you have. 18 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Okay, great. 19 Thank you. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 21 Any more questions please? 22 Can I get a motion on that? 23 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I'll move it. 24 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Second. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Roll call, Lucy,

	25
1	please.
2	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
3	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
4	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
5	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
6	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
7	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
8	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
9	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
10	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
11	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
12	
13	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
14	action on the following items:
15	NEWARK PROPERTY ACQUISITION PROJECT
16	7. RESOLUTION NO. 16-042 - RESOLUTION
17	RATIFYING PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$8,700.00 TO
18	GC STEWART ASSOC. FOR PROPERTIES BOUNDARY
19	SURVEYS; and
20	8. RESOLUTION NO. 16-043 - RESOLUTION
21	ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FROM GC STEWART FOR
22	SURVEYING SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,500.00 FOR
23	ADDITIONAL 5 PROPERTIES.)
24	
25	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to Newark

1 Property Acquisition Product (sic), 7 and 8. 2 Any questions? 3 You look -- do you have a question? COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Nope. 4 5 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 6 Can I get a motion please? 7 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Motion. 8 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Second. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Roll call, Lucy, 10 please. 11 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 12 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. 13 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 14 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes. 15 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach? 16 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes. 17 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale? 18 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes. 19 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross? 20 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 21 22 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 23 action on the following item: CEDAR GROVE PARK PROJECT 24 25 9. RESOLUTION NO. 16-044 - RESOLUTION

27 1 AUTHORIZING A PAYMENT OF \$23,428.25 TO CME 2 ASSOCIATES FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES IN CONNECTION 3 WITH THE COUNTY DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW PARK IN 4 CEDAR GROVE.) 5 6 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to the 7 Cedar Grove Project. 8 Number 9. 9 Any questions? 10 Just a quick question, where are we with 11 it? 12 MR. ROTHER: They're designing it right 13 now. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: They're still --15 Okay. 16 MR. ROTHER: Those of you that were at 17 the --18 I -- I couldn't make it. 19 -- the County Executive's State of the 20 County Report, he -- he reported on that --21 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Last night. 22 MR. ROTHER: -- on that project. That was 23 one of his very, very successful achievements, as 24 he thought it was -- or so my wife told me after 25 she came back from that -- from that session.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right.
2	Seeing no more questions, can I get a
3	motion please?
4	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll make a
5	motion.
6	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Second.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, roll call,
8	Lucy, please.
9	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
10	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
11	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
12	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
13	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
14	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
15	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
16	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
17	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
18	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
19	
20	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
21	action on the following item:
22	PARKING
23	10. RESOLUTION NO. 16-045 - RESOLUTION
24	AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO HAYS SAFE AND LOCK CO., IN
25	THE AMOUNT OF \$648.00 FOR LOCK REPLACEMENT AT THE

1	TICKET BOOTH.)
2	
3	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving to Parking,
4	number 10.
5	Questions?
6	Motion please?
7	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I make a motion.
8	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Second.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Lucy?
10	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
11	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
12	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
13	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
14	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
15	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
16	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
17	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
18	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
19	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
20	
21	(Whereupon, the Board discussed the
22	following item:
23	13. RESOLUTION NO. 16-048 - WITHDRAWN -
24	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PURCHACE OF ECIA
25	SPORTSPLEX INSURANCE POLICIES: COMMERCIAL

30 1 PROPERTY, GENERAL LIABILITY AND UMBRELLA FOR THE 2 PERIOD 3-1-16 TO 3-1-17.) 3 4 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 5 action on the following items: 6 SPORTSPLEX COMPLEX 7 **11.** RESOLUTION NO. 16-046 - RESOLUTION 8 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO AROCHO SERVICES, LLC IN 9 THE AMOUNT OF \$14,615.00 FOR SNOW/ICE REMOVAL; 10 and 12. RESOLUTION NO. 16-047 - RESOLUTION 11 12 RATIFYING PAYMENT OF \$8,395.00 FOR ENVIRONMENTAL 13 CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICES RENDERED BY PS&S 14 IN CONNECTION WITH ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES FOR THE 15 PERIOD THROUGH 12-31-15.) 16 17 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to 18 Sportsplex --19 MR. ROTHER: Yeah, we're going to have to 20 withdraw item number 13. Number 16-048, we're 21 going to withdraw. Because we haven't received 22 the quote yet. 23 But you can move the -- the other two 24 items. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We're going to --

1 we're going to try to move 11 and 12 out of 2 Sportsplex; 13 has been withdrawn. 3 Can I get a motion please? 4 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I'll move those. 5 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Second. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Roll call, 7 Lucy, please. 8 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 9 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 10 11 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes. 12 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach? 13 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes. MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale? 14 15 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes. 16 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross? 17 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 18 19 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 20 action on the following items: 21 AIRPORT 22 14. RESOLUTION NO. 16-049 - RESOLUTION 23 AUTHORIZING THE DISPOSITION OF BIDS FOR 24 CONSTRUCTION OF PRE-FABRICATED MODULAR AIRPORT 25 OPERATIONS BUILDING (REJECTION OF ALL BIDS);

15. RESOLUTION NO. 16-050 - RESOLUTION 1 2 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,851.12 TO 3 TRANE FOR HVAC SYSTEM REPAIRS AT BUILDING/HANGAR 4 М; 5 16. RESOLUTION NO. 16-051 - RESOLUTION 6 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO JAMES ISABEL IN THE AMOUNT 7 OF \$1,150.00 FOR WELDING SERVICES; and 8 **17.** RESOLUTION NO. 16-052 - RESOLUTION 9 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO SHERWIN INDUSTRIES INC. IN 10 THE AMOUNT OF \$3,999.00 FOR AIRFIELD FRICTION 11 METER.) 12 13 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to Airport, 14 14 through 17. 15 Questions? 16 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. I'd like to make a 17 comment on -- on number 14 before you move on --18 on that. 19 That -- that was a -- a series of bids where we had a number of them, which was very 20 21 encouraging but all of the bids came out 22 substantially higher than the engineer's 23 estimate. 24 And as I understand it we subsequently 25 found out that one of the bid contracting

1 services online --

2 What was the name of that service?
3 MR. CALI: No, it was Construction (sic)
4 Data --

5 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Went and published an 6 amount that was exceedingly high and labeled it 7 as our budget amount. And the -- the amount that 8 all these parties bid was pretty much close to 9 that amount that had been published online. And 10 so that has forced us to reject all of the bids 11 and take some pretty severe corrective reaction 12 -- action, rather, going forward to make sure 13 that any new bids don't fall into that pit. 14 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: How'd they get 15 that information? 16 MR. ROTHER: The -- the -- we don't know. 17 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Because if it's 18 budgetary it was an internal --19 MR. ROTHER: No --20 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- budget we 21 created. 22 MR. ROTHER: No. No. They -- they called 23 it our -- our -- they called it our budget 24 amount. 25 Was that not the case, Joe?

34 1 MR. CALI: Yes. They -- they called it the 2 budgeted amount for the project. We -- I have a 3 suspicion that they had an engineer in -- on 4 their team putting together a quote that came up 5 with that number and -- and published that as our 6 budget. 7 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Did we have --8 MR. ROTHER: Obvious --9 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Did we have an 10 internal budget? 11 Did we budget --12 MR. CALI: No, we were just going off the 13 engineer's estimate, which was substantially 14 lower. 15 MR. ROTHER: Less. 16 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Will those firms that 17 were rejected be able to bid again? 18 MR. ROTHER: Yeah, sure. 19 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes? 20 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. We can't -- we can't 21 keep them out. No -- no basis for us to keep 22 them out. 23 But I -- I can just imagine those firms 24 were cruising online and saw -- and saw this bid 25 and said that's the budget. You know? Bid a few

	35
1	dollars less or maybe a few dollars more; they
2	thought they'd be in the ballpark.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: That's crazy.
4	MR. ROTHER: And they were way out of the
5	ballpark.
6	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Is that the first
7	time something like that has happened
8	MR. CALI: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: with any of our
10	bids?
11	MS. SAPINSKI: Yes.
12	MR. CALI: Yes.
13	MS. SAPINSKI: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: And has this
15	service, whatever it is Construction what?
16	What was the firm that
17	MR. CALI: I
18	MS. WILLIAMSON: Dodge Data.
19	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: that published
20	this?
21	MR. CALI: It was Dodge Data. That that
22	was my I'm corrected.
23	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Dodge Data?
24	MS. WILLIAMSON: Yeah.
25	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Have they

	36
1	MR. CALI: I know
2	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: listed other
3	MR. CALI: They've picked up our bids
4	before
5	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Right.
6	MR. CALI: and published them on their
7	site. This is the first time that
8	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: They've picked up
9	the the bids that we received,
10	MR. CALI: Yeah.
11	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: not the
12	MR. ROTHER: No, no, the bid
13	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: estimate
14	MR. ROTHER: No, the bid documents.
15	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: The bid documents.
16	MR. ROTHER: The bid documents.
17	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Okay.
18	MR. ROTHER: Because that's what
19	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Okay.
20	MR. ROTHER: they they advertise.
21	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Interesting.
22	MR. ROTHER: Yeah, no we we looked at
23	the the issue of whether they could be
24	could be sued for for the extraordinary costs
25	that this might
	ll de la constant de

	37
1	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Exactly.
2	MR. ROTHER: incur for doing that.
3	Because there's there's no basis for them
4	publishing a number like that.
5	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Um-hum.
6	Well they'll certainly have to be
7	scrutinized extremely carefully
8	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: on the second
10	round given the
11	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: And the engineer
12	for us
13	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: you know what
14	
15	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I'm sorry, Carla.
16	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: That's okay.
17	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I didn't know you
18	were
19	The engineer for us was our normal
20	Airport engineers did the bid document?
21	MR. CALI: No this was PS and S.
22	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Really? Okay.
23	You can see my confusion?
24	I mean as an airport operations building, I
25	would have figured that's right down the sweet

1 spot of -- who is it C and S is our normal 2 engineers? 3 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 4 Yeah, I'm not sure. That -- that selection 5 was made before I -- I became --6 MR. CALI: Yeah, --7 MR. ROTHER: -- Executive. 8 What -- what was the reason we selected 9 them? 10 MR. CALI: We -- we had put out an RFP to 11 design the building. 12 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 13 MR. CALI: We received I believe it was three or four responses. And we interviewed the 14 firms that had put in their submission and that 15 16 was our choice. 17 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Um-hum. 18 Okay. 19 MR. ROTHER: And obviously the Board had 20 selected that -- that firm at some point. 21 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Um-hum. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 23 You had a question --24 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yeah. Did we skip over 25 number 12, Resolution 16-047?

	n	3
1		COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I think we did 11
2	and 12	2
3		COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I think we
4	moved	
5		COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: together.
6		COMMISSIONER ROSS: We did that together?
7		COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: 11 and 12.
8		COMMISSIONER ROSS: Okay.
9		I'm sorry.
10		COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: We removed
11		Well, I thought I was moving 11 and 12.
12		COMMISSIONER ROSS: Okay.
13		COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
14		COMMISSIONER ROSS: All right.
15		COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: That's what was
16	moved.	
17		COMMISSIONER ROSS: Thank you.
18		VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, we're pulling
19	on	the Airport we're pulling number 14.
20		Right?
21		MR. ROTHER: No. Nope.
22		VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: No?
23		MR. ROTHER: Number 14 rejects all the
24	bids.	
25		VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Reject oh, we're
	I	

	40
1	rejecting it. Okay.
2	MR. ROTHER: All the bids.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, so we're moving
4	14, 15, 16 and 17.
5	Can I have a motion please?
6	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Motion.
7	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Second.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Lucy?
9	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
10	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
11	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
12	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
13	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
14	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
15	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
16	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
17	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
18	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
19	
20	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
21	action on the following item:
22	AIRPORT
23	REJUVENATION & RUNWAY 10-28 THRESHOLD LIGHTING
24	PROJECT (DESIGN)
25	Project Funded:

1	41 FAA GRANT #38-15 (90%)
2	NJDOT GRANT #6107308 (5%)
3	AIRPORT BOND (5%)
4	18. RESOLUTION NO. 16-053 - RESOLUTION
5	AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO C&S ENGINEERS, INC., IN
6	THE AMOUNT OF \$1,333.73 FOR DESIGN ENGINEERING
7	SERVICES.)
8	
9	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Move on to Renovation
10	(sic) and Runway.
11	Number 18.
12	Can I get a motion please?
13	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll make a
14	motion.
15	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Second.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Lucy.
17	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
18	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
19	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
20	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
21	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
22	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
23	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
24	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
25	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?

42 1 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 2 3 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 4 action on the following item: 5 AIRPORT 6 **OBSTRUCTION REMOVAL PROJECT - CONDEMNATION** 7 Project Funded: 8 AIRPORT 100% 9 **19.** RESOLUTION NO. 16-054 - RESOLUTION 10 RATIFYING PAYMENT TO JOHN J. CURLEY, LLC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$920.00 FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED IN 11 12 THE MONTH OF JANUARY 2016.) 13 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Move on to 15 Obstruction Removal Project. 16 Number 19. 17 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Motion. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Second? 19 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Second. 20 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 21 Lucy? 22 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 23 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. 24 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 25 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.

	43
1	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
2	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh. Okay,
4	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
5	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Airport.
7	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
8	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
9	
10	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
11	action on the following items:
12	AIRPORT
13	ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS - HANGAR 1 AND HANGAR 3
14	Project Funded:
14 15	Project Funded: AIRPORT BOND-100%
15	AIRPORT BOND-100%
15 16	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION
15 16 17	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC.,
15 16 17 18	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,250.00 FOR ENGINEERING
15 16 17 18 19	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,250.00 FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES, SITE REMEDIATION AT HANGAR 1 AREA; and
15 16 17 18 19 20	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,250.00 FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES, SITE REMEDIATION AT HANGAR 1 AREA; and 21. RESOLUTION NO. 16-056 - RESOLUTION
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,250.00 FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES, SITE REMEDIATION AT HANGAR 1 AREA; and 21. RESOLUTION NO. 16-056 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #3 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC.,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,250.00 FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES, SITE REMEDIATION AT HANGAR 1 AREA; and 21. RESOLUTION NO. 16-056 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #3 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$27,500.00 FOR ENGINEERING
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AIRPORT BOND-100% 20. RESOLUTION NO. 16-055 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #5 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,250.00 FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES, SITE REMEDIATION AT HANGAR 1 AREA; and 21. RESOLUTION NO. 16-056 - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT #3 TO H2M ASSOCIATES INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$27,500.00 FOR ENGINEERING

1 Airport, Environmental Project, Hangar 1 and 2 Hangar 3. 3 Twenty and 21. 4 Any questions? 5 Motion? 6 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Can we just talk a 7 little bit about the \$321,000.00 increase? 8 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Well, we -- we have the 9 numbers here. 10 And -- and, Joe, you want to walk that 11 through? 12 MR. CALI: Sure. 13 This --14 Joe Cali, Project Manager. 15 There's two different sites that the DEP 16 has established here at the site. 17 And the -- the first one being Hangar 1, 18 which I can show you on the Airport map, is this 19 side of the property; and Hangar 3 being this 20 side of the property. 21 The original contract from H2M was 22 \$399,000.00. That was -- at that time there were 23 77 areas of concern in that section. They had 24 done some investigation work. During that 25 investigation work, you can see here, amendment

1 number 1, they had -- they had found a tank 2 during soil boring testing that they had to be 3 removed. So this 68,000 here shows that.

4 While they do the soil boring test and well 5 installations they generate this liquid that's 6 put into drums and to -- in order to remove those 7 drums they have to take them offsite. There were 8 additional drums than what they had originally 9 accounted for. Amendment number 2 of \$8,000.00 10 was the additional drums that had to be removed 11 from the site.

You can see here, amendment number 3, whichis additional investigation work of 177,000.

Amendment number 4 being the oil/water separator work, which we're currently doing at the Hangar 1 site, our aircraft wash rack, and maintenance garage. We are removing and installing new water/oil separators because the old ones were at the end of their suggested life and they needed to be upgraded.

And this amendment number 5, while they
were doing some investigation work down at the
Hangar 1 site they were doing the boring test and
they hit a water pipe and needed to be fixed. So
that's that \$7,000.00.

	46
1	And this you see down here is amendment
2	number 6, which is the current amendment, 321,000
3	for investigation work.
4	And that takes us to the remedial
5	investigation report, which is the deadline
6	being May 7 th . And \$100,000.00 of this proposal
7	here is actually remedial action,
8	MR. ROTHER: Action.
9	MR. CALI: which takes us past the
10	report. And
11	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: What kind of
12	remedial action?
13	MR. CALI: There is soil remediation being
14	done in one of the spots.
15	MR. ROTHER: It's it's a leach pit
16	it's a leach pit, if I'm not mistaken, that
17	MR. CALI: Correct.
18	MR. ROTHER: that is being removed.
19	And one of the one of the reasons
20	they're doing that is they're they're going to
21	remedial action because they can avoid a second
22	localization if they did investigation first and
23	then action. So they're combining the two. And
24	that's the additional hundred thousand dollars.
25	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Okay.

	47
1	MR. ROTHER: And that and that gets us
2	to the May deadline on that Hangar 1 project.
3	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: The May deadline
4	means what?
5	That they have to conclude the
6	investigation
7	MR. ROTHER: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: by May 1 st ?
9	MR. CALI: Yes.
10	MR. ROTHER: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: So they're going
12	to spend 321,000 between now and then?
13	MR. ROTHER: Including a hundred
14	thousand
15	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Including the
16	report.
17	MR. ROTHER: in action in action.
18	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Including
19	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
20	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: generating the
21	report.
22	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
23	MR. CALI: Correct.
24	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: And that will be
25	the remedial investigation piece?

1 MR. CALI: Correct. 2 MR. ROTHER: And -- and action to the 3 extent of that --4 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Well to the one 5 action --6 MR. ROTHER: -- that leach pit. That leach 7 pit. 8 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- but not 9 necessarily --10 MR. ROTHER: That's right. 11 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- what the 12 overall action. 13 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 14 The -- and the reason they told us that 15 they -- they want to do that is because that is 16 reasonably well-defined and they can do it. 17 They know where it is. They've -- they've 18 marked the extent of the activity. They know 19 where the source is. They can go right in and 20 take it out. Rather than just doing a study of 21 it and then later coming back and taking out. 22 They can do it all at once now and save us money 23 by doing that. 24 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Just a quick question. 25 The original contract was 400,000. Now the

49 1 total is close to 700,000? 2 MR. ROTHER: Yeah there's a lot of money 3 there. 4 And then --5 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yeah. MR. ROTHER: -- just so that you -- you all 6 7 know --8 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: This will bring us 9 over a million. 10 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Okay. 11 MR. ROTHER: -- Commissioner Spiesbach and 12 I've had a -- have had a number of conversations 13 about this and it's something that I brought up 14 with them when -- when I met with them here to go 15 over these numbers. 16 What, last week it was? 17 MS. SAPINSKI: Um-hum. 18 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. We raised the issue, too, that we do not 19 20 want to be going around this Airport looking for 21 trouble. We don't want to be punching holes 22 here, there, everywhere looking for trouble 23 because this isn't a residential site. We don't 24 have to make this to, you know, residential 25 standards, et cetera. Their LSRP who was here

1 The LSRP, that's the licensed professional
2 that acts now for DEP on these -- on these
3 matters.

4 -- he used to be in their employ. He's now
5 employed elsewhere. He was there as well. He
6 continues to be the LSRP for the site.

7 He -- he agreed with me in -- in principle
8 that, you know, they don't want to do that. And
9 to the extent that they're talking about the kind
10 of fill that they would find that would be
11 acceptable anywhere else and -- and similar kinds
12 of contaminants that can be tolerated, they're -13 they're ready to -- to leave them alone.

14 But to a great extent they said that their 15 predecessors here, the -- the prior environmental 16 firm that was here -- started certain activities 17 that they've inherited and they can't -- and they can't leave it alone now. They -- it's been 18 19 found; they've got to act on it. And to a great 20 extent that's their justification for the work 21 that they're doing right now.

22 Commissioner Spiesbach and I agree that we
23 should have a third party look over their
24 shoulders to be sure that that's -- that they're
25 not looking for trouble simply to continue to,

51 1 you know, charge us fees for investigation and 2 remediation. 3 Commissioner Spiesbach has looked for a 4 professional to do that and -- and it's not --5 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Still a work in 6 progress. 7 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 8 And it's -- it's not easy to do because one 9 professional doesn't want to be doing that to 10 another. 11 I have someone if -- if Commissioner 12 Spiesbach can't find an engineer. I would prefer 13 to have an engineer doing this. I have someone 14 who is an attorney that was formerly a Deputy AG 15 to DEP that has done this kind of work for us in 16 other situations and would come in. He's not as 17 good as an engineer would be but has the kind of experience that could tell us if indeed these 18 19 folks are simply looking for more work to do 20 that's not justified. 21 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Have you advised 22 them that that's an option you're considering? 23 MR. ROTHER: No. I -- I have not told them 24 that yet. But, you know, if we find somebody we 25 certainly will.

1 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Of course. But 2 just so they --3 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 4 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: -- so they know. 5 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: For future -- I just 7 ___ 8 Quick question. 9 For future letter of engagements is it 10 possible to put in, you know, not to exceed? 11 MR. ROTHER: Well we do that. 12 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: They all have 13 that. 14 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Oh, they all have --15 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yeah. 16 MR. ROTHER: They all have it. 17 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: -- anyways. 18 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: They all have it. 19 MR. ROTHER: Yes, they all --20 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes, they all have 21 it. 22 MR. ROTHER: We -- we have to do that by --23 by law. 24 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: We're still exceeding. 25 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.

53 1 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 2 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yeah. 3 MR. ROTHER: But -- but, yeah, they come 4 back and there's more work and then you -- you do 5 a second --6 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: And then you have to 7 sign --8 MR. ROTHER: -- the second amendment where 9 you do another not to exceed. 10 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Is it unusual to 11 go to a different contractor? 12 I mean to --13 MR. ROTHER: Well, it's very -- you can't forum shop this environmental stuff. I mean it 14 15 -- you -- if you -- if you do that you're --16 you're going to get yourself I think in a bit of 17 trouble with -- with DEP. 18 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: (Indiscernible) --19 done this, too. MR. ROTHER: But -- but I -- I think having 20 21 an independent party --22 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Um-hum. 23 MR. ROTHER: -- look over their shoulder 24 and -- and advise us is the right thing to do. 25 If we can find --

	54
1	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Um-hum.
2	MR. ROTHER: a reputable person with the
3	right credentials.
4	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: A quick question
5	for you also, Joe.
6	Pre-H2M, what was our investment here in
7	Hangar 1 from previous consultants?
8	MR. CALI: I would have to look that up. I
9	can
10	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: It was a lot.
11	MR. CALI: I can get it.
12	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: It was a lot.
13	MR. ROTHER: Oh, yeah. No, no. We've
14	spent we've spent probably millions on on
15	this.
16	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Well, I'm just
17	trying to put it in the right context
18	MR. ROTHER: Oh, sure.
19	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: because
20	MR. ROTHER: No, no.
21	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: we spent a
22	lot
23	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
24	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: with the
25	previous consultant

	55
1	MR. ROTHER: Um-hum.
2	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: who basically
3	was looking under every rock they could find and
4	saying ooh there's another area of concern
5	MR. ROTHER: Um-hum.
6	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: and we'll
7	investigate.
8	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
9	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: But I think it's
10	important to look at this in the context of we
11	have spent a tremendous amount of investigatory
12	money on a municipal airport. I mean that's
13	really what we're talking about here.
14	We're not generating; we're not an
15	industrial concern; we're not dumping stuff out
16	into the into the weeds, et cetera and so
17	forth. So that's where
18	MR. ROTHER: But there are
19	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: my my
20	concern is.
21	MR. ROTHER: there are contaminants,
22	even though we are an airport, that we have to
23	address. Even though
24	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: No understandable.
25	MR. ROTHER: even though we're not

56 1 even though we're not residential. 2 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Precisely. 3 MR. ROTHER: But the -- the real question 4 is are you -- are you looking too hard is -- is 5 really the question. And -- and I think that 6 that's where we need -- we need some guidance --7 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yeah. 8 MR. ROTHER: -- so that we can just reign 9 them in. 10 But -- but, too, in -- in their defense 11 they're claiming that a good part of the work 12 that they're doing now is in furtherance of work 13 that was already started by their predecessors --14 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Well, that's 15 exactly true --16 MR. ROTHER: -- that put in --17 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- and I could 18 understand that. 19 MR. ROTHER: -- put in place. 20 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: But by the same 21 token, not every LSRP is going to take the same 22 action as another LSRP. 23 The LSRP, for all intents and purposes, is 24 sitting in the place of the case manager. DEP 25 would have cooperative case managers, difficult

1 case managers.

2	The LSRP program now is such where
3	And just to wax philosophical, we're doing
4	an investigation now based on a cost recovery
5	action in Jersey City that where the former
6	owner is saying my LSRP says you're done. Our
7	LSRP says we're not quite.
8	So at what point are you willing to say
9	enough's enough already?
10	The so that's one of the issues that
11	that certainly is there. And I personally think
12	that they may have been able to question the
13	validity of some of these areas of concerns once
14	they did some of their preliminary investigation
15	but that's
16	MR. ROTHER: By the way they
17	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: it's a
18	professional judgment
19	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
20	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: that I really
21	have no desire to get, you know, in the middle
22	of.
23	My point that I'm driving at is you do
24	investigations to determine what the the
25	substance is or the the concerns would be and

58 1 then alleviate those concerns. 2 You can reach a point where you know I have 3 an entire concern over the entire property, 4 what's my remedy? 5 Is it a deed notice? Is it an 6 institutional? Is it -- is it paving a certain 7 area and being done? 8 What I'm trying to say is we should be 9 driving this thing towards what is the remedy 10 that you are going to recommend, and at what 11 point do you have sufficient information to 12 already assume that's a viable remedy, and it's 13 an LSRP, --14 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 15 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- it's in 16 accordance with the tech regs, we can be 17 finished. 18 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 19 The -- the issue though, I think Gerry, 20 from what I've gathered in my conversations with 21 them is that there is no single one or even two 22 or even six areas of concern that come up with a 23 single remedy. 24 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: No, agreed. 25 MR. ROTHER: There are multiple -- there

1 are multiple remedies and -- and remediations and 2 -- and that's the issue.

Now they have offered to come back and address these issues because, you know, I -- I made this point in a pretty strong way. They're willing to come back and address these issues with one or more Board members. As many as you want.

9 So, if -- if you would like to do that, we 10 can do it. I would like to think that we could 11 do a working session -- a noticed working session 12 to have as many as want to come so that we don't 13 run into the Open Public Meeting Act problem --14 and have someone here hopefully that we would 15 retain to look over their shoulder. So that we 16 could have, in -- in essence, a three-way 17 conversation; an independent party, Board 18 members, and -- and our LSRP environmental 19 engineer.

So that we can drill down on all of these questions and -- and, you know, set aside three, four hours -- as much time as it takes to really drill down on all of these things and -- and get -- and get a comfort level. You know I'm -- I'm more than happy to do that and believe we should.

60 1 But in the meantime we're facing this May 2 deadline, which is a statutory deadline. It's 3 not an administrative one. DEP can't give 4 waivers to it. And -- and we're a bit at the 5 mercy not only of H2M but the law at this point. 6 Okay, do you want to talk a little bit 7 about --8 MR. CALI: Sure. I could --9 MR. ROTHER: -- Hangar 3? 10 MR. CALI: Hangar 3, the original contract is 127. Amendment number 1 was additional 11 12 investigation of 88,700. 13 And during some of the work they had --14 they did a ground penetrating radar in one of the 15 areas of concern and anomalies had popped up. 16 They had found two diesel tanks with diesel fuel 17 in them that had to be removed. And that is 18 amendment number 2. 19 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Why didn't we catch that on the -- from the previous consultant 20 21 when he similarly did a ground -- did a radar --22 MR. ROTHER: Yeah, well --23 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- survey? 24 MR. ROTHER: I -- you know, we can -- we 25 can ask those questions of previous contractors

1 and I -- you know, I don't know where it's going 2 to get us. 3 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: But that wasn't identified --4 5 MR. ROTHER: But -- yeah, --6 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- in the paper 7 that they were looking at --8 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Yeah. 9 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- that basically 10 said --11 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Yeah. 12 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- there's an 13 anomaly here, we have to go and do a -- a whole 14 ground penetrating --15 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Yeah. 16 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- radar. 17 MR. ROTHER: In some -- in some instances 18 though, Gerry, this -- this is to our benefit to 19 -- to identify a -- a container with a substance 20 in it before it leaks and get it out, rather than 21 have to deal with it after it leaks. 22 So, you know, in -- in some respects these 23 kinds of investigations, although they're 24 expensive, can avoid an even more expensive 25 remediation down the road.

1 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Okay. 2 Just one final question from me and then 3 you can continue with your analysis. 4 In the conversation you had on Friday --5 And I apologize for not being able to 6 attend but I -- I just couldn't do it, Steve. 7 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: You and I 8 9 talked --10 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 11 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- and I just 12 didn't have the -- I wouldn't have gotten here 13 until four. 14 But it turns out you were probably here for 15 another hour and a half --16 MR. ROTHER: Well, we were here quite a --17 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- and I probably 18 should have been here anyway. 19 MR. ROTHER: -- we were here quite a while. 20 Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: It probably would 22 have been another three hours had I been here. 23 But be that as it may. 24 Was the conversation -- were they giving 25 any indication as to how soon they thought they

1 could conclude their investigation --2 MR. ROTHER: Oh, no, they -- they --3 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- and drive this 4 to investigation? 5 MR. ROTHER: No, they --6 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I mean and drive 7 this to --8 MR. ROTHER: And -- and I think they 9 were --10 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- how long before 11 we need to --12 MR. ROTHER: -- I think they were being 13 sincere. They -- they said that they -- that 14 that was their goal. 15 Their goal is to have an end date for us. 16 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Um-hum. 17 MR. ROTHER: That -- you know, we -- we 18 talked about that. And that -- that's their 19 qoal. 20 I mean if you listen to the LSRP speak he 21 had the -- he -- he was saying all the right 22 words. You know, we don't want to be here 23 forever and a day. We -- we want to find an end 24 to this. 25 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Um-hum.

	64
1	MR. ROTHER: You know, whether whether
2	their actions are consistent with those words, I
3	don't know and that's why we need some
4	independent advice perhaps.
5	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yeah.
6	Because when I met with the same LSRP about
7	a year and a half or more ago he I said the
8	same thing and he said, no I think we're getting
9	close.
10	MR. ROTHER: Um-hum.
11	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: That was over a
12	year and a half
13	MR. CALI: Well,
14	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: ago and
15	MR. CALI: to to put it into numbers,
16	when we started with H2M they were at a hundred
17	and seventeen areas of concern. Right now
18	they're at 13. So, we're getting we're
19	getting
20	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Okay.
21	MR. ROTHER: It's being rid of
22	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Who was the
23	previous
24	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: How many were
25	when we were at three asking for how many was 117

1 what then? 2 MR. CALI: I -- I just have the number -- I 3 can -- I can definitely find that out. 4 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: No I understand 5 what you're saying, Joe. But if you're going to 6 say -- you know, then fill in the blanks for me. Because from 117 to 13 is great; that means we 7 8 got rid of a hundred. But not all AOCs are 9 created equal; some of them are very marginal. 10 I remember some of the original AOCs were 11 we're going to investigate the -- the floor 12 drain. Very few people investigate floor drains. 13 I mean really. 14 MR. ROTHER: Well, it depends what you're 15 pouring down the floor drains. 16 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Well, --17 MR. ROTHER: If you're pouring --18 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yeah. 19 MR. ROTHER: -- pretty toxic stuff down 20 there you better know the floor drains are -- are 21 intact --

22 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: You're right.
23 MR. ROTHER: -- so that you're not -24 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: You're right.
25 MR. ROTHER: -- putting the toxic stuff

1 in --2 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I shouldn't be 3 using specific examples. 4 MR. ROTHER: -- the ground. 5 Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Who was the 7 previous contractor? 8 MR. ROTHER: Who -- who was our --9 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Birdsall. 10 MR. CALI: Birdsall. 11 MR. ROTHER: Birdsall. That's right. 12 Birdsall. 13 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: And what were the 14 circumstances for the switch? 15 MR. ROTHER: I don't know. I don't know 16 why --17 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Birdsall went 18 defunct. 19 MS. SAPINSKI: Went to --20 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Oh. Okay. 21 MR. ROTHER: But -- yeah but they -- they 22 were -- they were -- Birdsall's -- the folks 23 survived. They -- they went in a number of 24 different directions. 25 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Well they went to

1 other companies --2 MR. ROTHER: Yeah, that we could have --3 Yeah. 4 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- but Birdsall 5 the company --6 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 7 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- was --8 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Gone. 10 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 11 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: -- eliminated. 12 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 13 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: It's gone. Gone. 14 MR. ROTHER: Did -- did we, to any extent, 15 inherit any of the same staff? Are -- these 16 people, are they -- are they at all --17 MR. CALI: Birdsall was before I was here, 18 so --19 MR. ROTHER: Okay. 20 MR. CALI: -- there's nobody that I --21 MR. ROTHER: Tom? Do you --22 MR. GOMEZ: Yes, sir? 23 MR. ROTHER: -- do you know? 24 Are -- are any of the folks that are now on 25 -- on the job here for H2M old Birdsall people?

```
1
          MR. GOMEZ: I believe is -- on H2M I
 2
   believe is --
 3
          What is the -- the engineer that was
    with --
4
5
          Oh, no, he -- he's in a different --
6
          No, from Birdsall --
          He's in a different engineering company
7
8
          Not on this environmental --
    now.
9
          MR. ROTHER: Okay. Okay.
10
          VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
11
          MR. GOMEZ: -- company.
12
          MR. ROTHER: All right.
13
          Anything else on -- on this that you can
14
    share, Joe?
15
          If not, --
16
          COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Thank you.
17
          COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Thank you.
18
          MR. ROTHER: All right?
19
          VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
20
          Going back. We were at Airport 20 and 21.
21
          Could I get a motion please?
22
          COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll make a
23
    motion.
24
         COMMISSIONER DUNEC: I'll second.
25
          VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Lucy.
```

1 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 2 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. 3 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 4 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes. 5 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach? 6 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes. 7 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale? 8 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes. 9 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross? 10 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 11 12 (Whereupon, the Board discussed and took 13 action on the following items: 14 FINANCE 15 22. RESOLUTION NO. 16-057 - SUPPLEMENTAL BOND 16 RESOLUTION OF THE ESSEX COUNTY IMPROVEMENT 17 AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF ITS NOT TO 18 EXCEED \$8,250,000 PROJECT CONSOLIDATION REVENUE 19 REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2016; and 20 **23.** RESOLUTION NO. 16-058 - RESOLUTION 21 RATIFYING PAYMENT OF CHARGES AND EXPENSES OF THE 22 AUTHORITY'S \$150,000,000 POOLED GOVERNMENT LOAN 23 PROGRAM SERIES 1986 24 PAYMENTS DUE OTHERS FOR PGLP SERVICES 25 GALLEROS KOH, LLP, FINANCIAL CONSULTANT -

1 FEBRUARY 2016 - \$2,000.00.) 2 3 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, moving on to 4 Finance. 5 Any questions? 6 Can I get a motion please? 7 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I make a motion. 8 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Second. 9 MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN? 10 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes. MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec? 11 12 COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes. 13 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach? 14 COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes. 15 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale? 16 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes. 17 MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross? 18 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do we have to go in 20 Executive Session? 21 MR. ROTHER: If there are questions 22 regarding the -- the Stadium, I would like to do 23 that in Executive Session. 24 I -- I also have some reports that -- not 25 in Executive Session -- that I'd like to give on

	71
1	on some of the projects that are that are
2	ongoing.
3	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I just have two
4	quick questions on the Sports on the lease on
5	the Stadium.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well then you want to
7	go in Executive Session so we don't have a
8	problem?
9	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: If you don't mind,
10	yeah.
11	MR. ROTHER: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Um-hum.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Could I get a motion
14	to go in Executive Session please?
15	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Move to Executive.
16	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Second.
17	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
18	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
19	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
20	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
21	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
22	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
23	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
24	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
25	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?

1 COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 3 4 (Whereupon, the Board moved to Executive 5 Session at 5:38 p.m., Resolution 16-061.) 6 7 (Whereupon, the Board returned to Open 8 Session at 5:50 p.m., Resolution 16-08.) 9 10 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Can I get a motion to 11 deal with Stadium, our Late Starter. 12 MR. DILLON: Excuse me? 13 You got to take a motion to go back into 14 session. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: We did a motion. 16 MR. ROTHER: We did. 17 MR. DILLON: Oh you did it off the record? 18 MR. ROTHER: Yup, --19 MR. DILLON: Okay. 20 MR. ROTHER: -- we did. 21 MR. DILLON: Okay. 22 Thank you. 23 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: You want to do it on 24 the record? 25 MR. DILLON: Yeah, that seems better.

	73
1	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
2	Can I get a motion to go back into Open
3	Public?
4	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: I moved it before,
5	I'll move it again.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Can I get a second
7	again?
8	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Second.
9	MR. DILLON: Thank you.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, Lucy, roll call
11	please.
12	MS. SAPINSKI: Vice Chairman Brown?
13	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
14	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
15	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
16	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
17	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
18	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
19	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
20	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
21	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
22	
23	(Whereupon, the Board discussed and took
24	action on the following items:
25	LATE STARTERS

1 STADIUM

2	1. RESOLUTION NO. 16-059 - RESOLUTION
3	AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH CONNELL FOLEY FOR
4	LEGAL SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE SALE OF THE
5	BEARS AND EAGLES RIVERFRONT STADIUM IN THE AMOUNT
6	OF \$40,000.00; and
7	2. RESOLUTION NO. 16-060 - RESOLUTION
8	APPROVING THE SALE OF BEARS AND EAGLES RIVERFRONT
9	STADIUM AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO
10	EXECUTE A PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT THEREFOR.)
11	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now I want to get a
13	motion on Late Starter for February $23^{ m rd}$, on the
14	Stadium 1 and 2 please.
15	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll make a
16	motion.
17	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Second.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Roll call, Lucy,
19	please?
20	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
21	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
22	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
23	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
24	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
25	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.

	75
1	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
2	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
3	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
4	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
5	
6	UPDATES :
7	
8	MR. ROTHER: Okay, I'd like to give just a
9	couple of quick updates.
10	There are, in addition to the the
11	Stadium, there are three other projects that
12	we're involved in right now that will at some
13	point come before you.
14	The the golf complex in Roseland on
15	Eagle Rock Avenue that's owned by the County.
16	The operator there would like to build a
17	restaurant with a liquor license. And it's a
18	it's a pretty good deal for them because they can
19	they can get what they call a concessionaires
20	liquor license because the government owns the
21	property. They don't have to go out and buy a
22	liquor license; they can simply go to State ABC
23	and buy an annual license for a pretty nominal
24	amount.
25	So, it's a pretty pretty good deal and

1 it can be a pretty good deal for the County as 2 well because we can get a percentage lease there, 3 as is the case over in -- in Turtle Back, and --4 and make a -- a fair amount of money.

5 Currently the lease is with the County.
6 And it's interesting because although the
7 Freeholders adopted a Resolution, they never
8 entered into a formal lease agreement. They're
9 doing that right now. And that lease will then
10 be assigned to the ECIA.

The reason it's being done is because the initial RFP specifically prohibited the selling of alcohol on that property. And if the County was to amend that lease they would have to go through a whole new RFP process.

16 If that lease is assigned to us we're free
17 to negotiate anything we would like to do there.
18 We're free to sit down with the current operator.

19 We're -- we're obviously going to have to 20 negotiate and we're in the process of looking at 21 that right now about how -- how we fold in this 22 -- this use and extend the lease an appropriate 23 term.

24 And we'll report to you periodically what 25 that negotiation looks like and at some point it

	77
1	will come back here for your approval.
2	I would expect, before we get to that point
3	however, we're going to accept the assignment
4	from from the County. That will will come
5	to you first.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Excuse me?
7	Would this be income?
8	MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Well, sure.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: For us?
10	MR. ROTHER: Yup.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
12	MR. ROTHER: The
13	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: What what part
14	will the County play in terms of them getting any
15	revenue out of that?
16	MR. ROTHER: That that always is is a
17	matter of negotiation and and as those of you
18	are here for a while will will know that what
19	the County gives it can ultimately take. You
20	know. We we fund a lot of the County's
21	projects, so so it's really,
22	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: I understand.
23	MR. ROTHER: you know, an illusion
24	sometimes.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes, I understand.
	N

78 1 MR. ROTHER: Okay? 2 The -- the other thing that we're working 3 on is a coffee shop in the garage down at the 4 County Complex. We're -- we're taking a piece of the ground 5 6 floor in the garage and we're advertising it for, 7 you know, a Starbucks, a Dunkin' Donuts. You 8 have a --9 What we're offering to do is to build 10 demising walls at that location; stubbing in the 11 utilities -- sewer, water, gas, electric. 12 Whoever the -- the winning proposer is is going 13 to finish off the inside. And then on a long 14 term lease rent -- rent that space. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: No, go ahead. 16 Finish. I have a question. 17 But go ahead. 18 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. We -- the arrangement 19 with the County is that we're going to get all of 20 the revenue. 21 We're -- we're going to -- we're going to 22 finance only the demising walls and the stubbing. 23 County's going to bring in those utilities. But 24 all of the rent -- rental income will be the 25 Authority's income.

	79
1	We have done the RFP and a notice and the
2	notice was given
3	How much how much did we pay? Four
4	hundred dollars was it?
5	MS. SAPINSKI: Four hundred.
6	MR. ROTHER: We paid \$400.00 to the New
7	Jersey Restaurant Association, which did a very,
8	very nice email blast to 1800 members of the New
9	Jersey Restaurant Association advising them of
10	the availability of this RFP. And so hopefully
11	we're going to going to get a good response
12	for that project.
13	You had a question?
14	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: My my question is
15	we already have the cafeteria there in that
16	building. Isn't that someone of a conflict?
17	Because if they're upstairs, I think
18	that's the 4^{th} floor or 3^{rd} floor, 3^{rd} floor I
19	think and they have the cafeteria facility,
20	what's going to be the attraction to come
21	downstairs?
22	MR. ROTHER: Yeah. That well, you have
23	you have to talk to the County Exec to get
24	get his thought thought on that. But but I
25	am told by County Counsel that

1 Because one of the concerns I had was that 2 there might have been convenance in the -- in 3 that arrangement that would have prohibited 4 this --5 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: If someone else --6 MR. ROTHER: -- and there are none and --7 and that particular arrangement is expiring this 8 summer. 9 So, how -- how the County intends to manage 10 that -- that continued use, given this use, and 11 that expiring arrangement, I -- I don't know. I 12 can imagine we might be called in to do that one 13 as well. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now when you say 15 called in to do that one, you mean --16 MR. ROTHER: In the same --17 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- go upstairs and --18 MR. ROTHER: -- in the same fashion that 19 we're doing the golf deal or --20 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 21 MR. ROTHER: -- or the -- the concession at 22 Turtle Back Zoo. Or you name it --23 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 24 MR. ROTHER: -- we could -- we could end up 25 being in there as well.

81 1 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: What is the estimated 2 square footage of this new building we're talking 3 about? MR. ROTHER: This -- we carved out a much 4 5 larger space but we told proposers that they 6 should only count on having 2500 square feet. 7 But we gave them the option -- we didn't 8 want to tell them how to configure it. We gave 9 them a fairly large space and said you -- you 10 tell us how you want to position that 2500 square 11 feet there. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is that down to the 13 north end of the building? 14 MR. ROTHER: No. It's -- it's at -- well, 15 at the northeast corner, right --16 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. 17 MR. ROTHER: -- where the main entrance is. 18 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. Right there. 19 MR. ROTHER: Right where the elevator bank 20 is. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah, the north end, 22 yeah. 23 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: It's going to be 25 interesting.

1	MR. ROTHER: Thirteenth Ave 13 th Ave
2	should have the nice thing is 13 th Ave, that
3	used to run right right through there, has all
4	the water, sewer, electric, and all the other
5	utilities
6	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Right there.
7	MR. ROTHER: still in the bed of the
8	street. So, it's it's very easy to to
9	supply it to that spot.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Hey, Joe's about
11	making money, so there.
12	MR. ROTHER: Yup.
13	The next thing is the the roller rink in
14	Branch Brook Park.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Oh, I thought it
17	was skating; not roller rink.
18	MR. ROTHER: Oh, it's a roller rink.
19	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Okay.
20	MR. ROTHER: Years ago it was skating.
21	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: That's when I
22	went.
23	MR. ROTHER: Yeah.
24	And
25	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Haven't been in a

83 1 while. 2 MR. ROTHER: -- and the -- the lease is up 3 and we -- we had a negotiation with the current 4 operator. The County is -- wasn't at all happy 5 with --6 And Joe made it very clear that he thought 7 that the -- the rent that they were paying was, 8 you know, far too little. 9 And we -- we had put out a -- a request for 10 proposals and they were the only ones that 11 responded and they didn't respond with a 12 financial proposal. 13 When we met with them we insisted on getting it in a few days and they -- I guess 14 15 Friday they gave us the --16 MS. SAPINSKI: Friday. 17 MR. ROTHER: -- their financial proposal. 18 And they have dramatically increased the 19 rent. This will be a lease that will be run 20 through this Authority as well. 21 The only issue that we have with them, it 22 was a 20 year proposal and the County didn't 23 express any problems with -- with 20 years but 24 they had only proposed some -- I forgot what the 25 number was but a very nominal amount for capital

1 improvements.

2 And we thought that given the -- the length 3 of time, 20 years, that they should be committing 4 to pretty substantial capital improvements. 5 So we're going to go -- be going back to 6 them for, you know, some increase in their 7 commitment to make capital repairs. 8 And that's it on projects. 9 The only other thing is we are joining 10 forces with the McManimon firm and going to the 11 New Jersey Mortgage and Housing Finance Agency to 12 make a pitch to have them give us an allocation 13 of low income housing tax credits. 14 So far the -- the Agency is the only source 15 for those low income housing tax credits. We 16 would like to be able to dispense those and 17 attract some affordable housing here in Essex 18 County.

We could have used it, we believe, in connection with the two projects that we did in East Orange recently and -- and would have made them much more viable than -- than they were.

And we are shortly going to be meeting with
the Agency's Director. And hopefully I can
report to you that we're going to have some

85 success in that regard so that we can do more of 1 2 those projects here in Essex County. 3 And that's all I -- I have on --4 COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: That's a lot. 5 MR. ROTHER: -- new -- new projects. 6 Yeah, there's a lot going on. 7 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Just an afterthought, 8 we have other issues facing us long term as we 9 know. How's this new source of revenue streams 10 that we're getting in affecting those things that 11 going down long term that we may have to deal 12 with? 13 MR. ROTHER: Yeah. Well, it's going to 14 give us -- it's going to give us the resources to 15 continue to fight that -- that battle. 16 And I think you're talking about the 17 Celanese thing --18 VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Exactly. 19 MR. ROTHER: -- primarily. 20 And, you know, as -- as long as we have a 21 burn rate of you know 20, \$30,000.00 a month for 22 -- for counsel, you -- you better have a revenue 23 source to -- to support that. 24 And -- and by doing all these good things 25 we -- we continue.

	86
1	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
2	MR. ROTHER: Now one of the things we can
3	do is at the next meeting I'll give you, in
4	Executive Session, a report on the the
5	strategy that I have been discussing with our
6	our counsel; how we can bring it in to that
7	that issue.
8	We're talking about bringing it in to the
9	environmental remediation matters. We we do
10	have a game plan there and we can share some of
11	that with you when we meet in the next Executive
12	Session.
13	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Okay.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay, that's good to
15	know.
16	Anymore questions?
17	Concerns?
18	
19	ADJOURNMENT :
20	
21	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Lucy, are we ready to
22	get have a motion to to end the meeting?
23	MS. SAPINSKI: Yes.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Can I get a motion to
25	end the meeting?

	87
1	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: I'll make a
2	motion.
3	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Second.
4	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Second.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right.
6	Everyone
7	MS. SAPINSKI: VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.
9	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Dunec?
10	COMMISSIONER DUNEC: Yes.
11	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Spiesbach?
12	COMMISSIONER SPIESBACH: Yes.
13	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Stanziale?
14	COMMISSIONER STANZIALE: Yes.
15	MS. SAPINSKI: Commissioner Ross?
16	COMMISSIONER ROSS: Yes.
17	
18	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded
19	at 6:04 p.m., Resolution No. 16-09.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

4	88
1	STATE OF NEW JERSEY :
2	:
3	COUNTY OF ESSEX :
4	
5	I, Karen A. Marino, assigned transcriber,
6	do hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and
7	accurate transcript of the REGULAR BOARD MEETING
8	AND ANNUAL RE-ORGANIZATION of the ESSEX COUNTY
9	IMPROVEMENT AUTHORITY heard on Tuesday, February
10	23, 2016 and recorded on tape.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Monitored by: Kevin Dillon
25	Proofread by: Deborah Dillon